## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

			_	_	_
JO		N.I.	1.	<i>(</i> )	1.7
- 11	, –	I N		. ,	г.

Plaintiff,

4:18CV3142

VS.

AMENDED FINAL PROGRESSION ORDER

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, and UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA BOARD OF REGENTS,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on the parties' Agreed Motion for Extension of Deadlines. (Filing No. 29.) The motion is granted. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the provisions of the Court's earlier final progression order remain in effect, and in addition to those provisions, the following shall apply:

- The Pretrial Conference is scheduled to be held before the undersigned magistrate judge on **November 2**, **2020** at **10:00 a.m.**, and will be conducted by internet/telephonic conferencing. Counsel shall use the internet teleconference instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference. (Filing No. 17) (If counsel wishes to appear in person, counsel must contact chambers requesting permission to do so. Before contacting chambers to request such relief, counsel shall confer regarding the issue.) The parties' proposed Pretrial Conference Order and Exhibit List(s) must be emailed to bazis@ned.uscourts.gov, in Word format, by **12:00** p.m. on October **28**, **2020**. The trial date in this matter is canceled and will be reset at the telephonic status conference or at the pretrial conference.
- The telephonic conference to discuss the status of case progression, the parties' interest in settlement and trial setting is rescheduled with the undersigned magistrate judge to **June 15, 2020 at 3:00 p.m.** by telephone. Counsel shall use the conferencing instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference. (Filing No. 17)
- 3) The deadline for completing written discovery under Rules 33, 34, and 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is **February 28, 2020**. Motions to compel discovery under Rules 33, 34, and 36 must be filed by **March 16, 2020**

**Note:** A motion to compel, to quash, or for a disputed protective order shall not be filed without first contacting the chambers of the undersigned magistrate judge to set a conference for discussing the parties' dispute.

4) The deadlines for identifying expert witnesses expected to testify at the trial, (both retained experts, (<u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)</u>), and non-retained experts, (<u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C)</u>), are:

For the plaintiff(s): November 1, 2019
For the defendant(s): January 15, 2020

The deadlines for complete expert disclosures<sup>1</sup> for all experts expected to testify at trial, (both retained experts, (<u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)</u>), and non-retained experts, (<u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C)</u>), are:

For the plaintiff(s):

For the defendant(s):

Plaintiff(s)' rebuttal:

November 1, 2019

January 15, 2020

February 7, 2020

- 6) The deposition deadline is **June 1, 2020**.
- 7) The deadline for filing motions for summary judgment on qualified immunity is **November 20, 2019**.
- 8) The deadline for filing motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment is **July** 1, 2020.
- 9) The deadline for filing motions to exclude testimony on *Daubert* and related grounds is **May 1, 2020**.
- 10) Motions in limine shall be filed seven days before the pretrial conference. It is not the normal practice to hold hearings on motions in limine or to rule on them prior to the first day of trial. Counsel should plan accordingly.
- The parties shall comply with all other stipulations and agreements recited in their Rule 26(f) planning report that are not inconsistent with this order.
- All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the undersigned magistrate judge, including all requests for changes of trial dates. Such requests will not be considered absent a showing of due diligence in the timely progression of this case and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

Dated this 5<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While treating medical and mental health care providers are generally not considered "specially retained experts," not all their opinions relate to the care and treatment of a patient. Their opinion testimony is limited to what is stated within their treatment documentation. As to each such expert, any opinions which are not stated within that expert's treatment records and reports must be separately and timely disclosed.